Structure and Dynamics of Candidate O Star Bubbles in N44

Yaël Nazé $^{1,5},$ You-Hua Chu $^{2,6},$ Martín A. Guerrero $^{2,6},$ M. S. Oey 3, Robert A. Gruendl 2, R. Chris Smith 4

Received	: accepted
100001704	, accepted

¹Institut d'Astrophysique et de Géophysique, Allée du 6 Août 17, Bat. B5c, B 4000 Liège (Sart-Tilman), Belgium; naze@astro.ulg.ac.be

²Astronomy Department, University of Illinois, 1002 W. Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA; chu@astro.uiuc.edu, mar@astro.uiuc.edu, gruendl@astro.uiuc.edu

 $^{^3} Lowell \; Observatory, \; 1400 \; West \; Mars \; Hill \; Rd., \; Flagstaff, \; AZ \; 86001, \; USA; \; oey@lowell.edu$

⁴Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory, Casilla 603, La Serena, Chile; csmith@noao.edu

⁵Research Fellow FNRS (Belgium)

⁶Visiting astronomer, Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory

ABSTRACT

Dynamical studies of superbubbles and Wolf-Rayet ring nebulae show discrepancies from the standard, adiabatic model for wind-blown bubbles. We therefore study the physical properties and kinematics of three candidate bubbles blown by single O stars, to evaluate whether these discrepancies are also found in these simpler objects. Our sample candidates are N44 F, N44 J, and N44 M, in the outskirts of the H_{II} complex N44 in the Large Magellanic Cloud. We have obtained ground-based and HST emission-line images and high dispersion echelle spectra for these objects. From the H α luminosities and the [O III]/H α ratios of these nebulae, we estimate the spectral types of the ionizing stars to be O7V, O9.5V and O9.5V for N44 F, N44 J, and N44 M, respectively. We find that the observed expansion velocity of 12 km s⁻¹ for N44 F is consistent with the stellar wind luminosity expected from the central ionizing star, as predicted by the standard bubble model. The observed upper limits for the expansion velocities of N44 J and N44 M are also compatible with the expected values, within the uncertainties. We also report the discovery in N44F of strongly-defined dust columns, similar to those seen in the Eagle Nebula. The photoevaporation of these dense dust features may be kinematically important and may actually govern the evolution of the shell. The inclusion of photoevaporation processes may thus undermine the apparent agreement between the observed bubble dynamics and the simple adiabatic models.

Subject headings: H II regions—ISM: bubbles—ISM: kinematics and dynamics—

ISM: individual (N44)— Magellanic Clouds

1. Introduction

Mechanical feedback from massive stars is a fundamental driver of galaxy evolution. The supernovae (SNe) and supersonic stellar winds from these stars generate bubbles and shells in the interstellar medium (ISM), which may dominate the ISM structure formation in many star-forming galaxies. The standard, adiabatic model for these shells and superbubbles (e.g., Weaver et al. 1977) predicts the production of hot, $10^6 - 10^7$ K, low-density gas within these shells, which bear the products of SN and massive star nucleosynthesis. Therefore, these bubble structures are thought to be the source of the diffuse, hot ionized component of the ISM. Vigorous star-forming regions may generate supergiant shells that blow out of galaxy disks, thereby dispersing metals and mass far from the parent star formation event. In addition, this mechanical energy contributes to ISM kinematics and is thought to be a primary source of turbulence (e.g., Norman & Ferrara 1996; Goldman 2000).

The effects of mechanical feedback have been clearly demonstrated, for example, in starburst galaxies (Johnson et al. 2000; Strickland et al. 2000) and in superbubbles around OB associations (e.g., Saken et al. 1992; Oey 1996). However, several troubling discrepancies remain unsolved. For example, almost all clean examples of bubbles blown by Wolf-Rayet stars and of superbubbles present shells that are too small for their observed parent stellar population (e.g., Treffers & Chu 1982; Drissen et al. 1995). Many superbubbles also show anomalous kinematics and X-ray emission (e.g., Rosado et al. 1982; Chu & Mac Low 1990; Wang & Helfand 1991). To resolve these puzzles and more clearly understand the mechanical feedback process, it is therefore necessary to examine simpler systems.

In active star-forming regions, high concentrations of OB stars collectively produce superbubbles. But in their peripheries, where stars are loosely distributed, discrete bubbles may be produced by individual stars. H α images of H II regions ionized by OB associations

in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) have revealed large (50–150 pc) gas shells around the OB associations and numerous small (< 15 pc), ring-like nebulae outside the large shell (see, e.g., Davies, Elliott, & Meaburn 1976). These small nebulae are candidate wind-blown bubbles of single O or B stars (Weis & Duschl 1999), and offer perhaps the simplest test of the standard, adiabatic bubble model.

We have investigated the kinematics and physical nature of three ring-like nebulae in N44 (Henize 1956) in the LMC. N44 is a bright H II complex with a 44 pc×67 pc superbubble at the center and several compact H II regions along the shell rim. In the surrounding area, small dense H II regions as well as extended diffuse nebulae are also present at distances up to 160 pc. Figure 1 shows these features and their identifications given by Henize (1956). Three OB associations exist in N44 (Lucke & Hodge 1970): LH 47 in the central shell, LH 48 in the compact H II region N44 I, and LH 49 partially embedded in the bright H II region N44 D. The three nebulae that we have studied are N44 F, N44 J, and N44 M. N44 F is a bright, circular H II region at the northwest rim of the superbubble and is adjacent to a bright filament connected to the main nebula. In contrast, N44 J and N44 M, appearing respectively on the northern and eastern parts of N44, are fainter and relatively isolated. None of these three nebulae have been studied since their original identification by Henize (1956).

We have obtained ground-based CCD images and high-dispersion echelle spectra of N44 F, N44 J, and N44 M to study the morphology and kinematics of these nebulae. Additionally, we have used *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) WFPC2 images of N44 F in the H α and [S II] lines to analyze its ionization structure. In this paper, we first describe these observations in §2, then present the analysis and results for N44 F in §3 and N44 J and N44 M in §4. We present our conclusions in §5.

2. Observations

The datasets used in this study include: (1) CCD images taken with the Curtis Schmidt and 0.9 m telescopes at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory (CTIO), (2) CCD images taken with the *HST* WFPC2, and (3) high-dispersion echelle spectra taken with the CTIO 4 m telescope. The high-dispersion spectra are useful to diagnose expanding shells that are not morphologically identifiable.

2.1. Ground-based CCD Images

CCD images of N44 were taken with the Curtis Schmidt telescope at CTIO in 1993 December. The detector was a front-illuminated Thomson 1024×1028 CCD camera with 19 μ m pixels, or 1".835 pixel⁻¹. Images were obtained with H α (λ_c =6561Å, $\Delta\lambda$ =26Å), [O III] (λ_c =5010Å, $\Delta\lambda$ =50Å), and [S II] (λ_c =6720Å, $\Delta\lambda$ =50Å) filters for exposure times of 1800 s, 2700 s, and 2700 s, respectively. The H α filter includes not only the H α line but also the neighboring [N II] $\lambda\lambda$ 6548,6583 lines. At the LMC's radial velocity, ~300 km s⁻¹, the [N II] λ 6583 line is red-shifted further away from the red edge of filter, but the [N II] λ 6548 line is red-shifted further into the H α bandpass. Using the [N II]/H α intensity ratio measured from our echelle spectra (see Section 2.3), we estimate that the [N II] λ 6548 line emission contributes 2.4%, 4.3% and 3.3% of the total flux of N44 F, N44 J and N44 M, respectively. This [N II] contamination has been corrected in our H α flux measurements.

Higher-resolution H α images were taken with the 0.9 m telescope at CTIO in 2000 December. The detector was a thinned, AR coated SITe 2048×2048 CCD with 24 μ m pixels, or 0".4 pixel $^{-1}$. The H α filter (λ_c =6559Å, $\Delta\lambda$ =64Å) used transmits H α and both [N II] lines, therefore the "H α " images are really H α +[N II] images, and thus are only used for morphology analysis. The angular resolution, determined by the FWHM of the stellar

images in the field of view, was approximately 1".3. To map the entire N44 complex, five fields were observed with exposure times of 2×600 s for each field.

All CCD images were bias-subtracted and flat-fielded in a standard way using IRAF software, and multiple frames were combined to remove cosmic rays and improve the S/N ratio. Figure 1 shows the H α mosaic of the N44 complex, and Fig. 2 presents close-ups of N44 F, N44 J and N44 M in the H α , [O III], and [S II] lines.

2.2. HST WFPC2 Images

HST WFPC2 images of N44 F were acquired via the Hubble Observation Problem Report ⁷ (HOPR) observations of the Cycle 6 program 6698. In these observations, made with the F656N filter for 2×500 s and the F673N filter for 2×600 s, N44 F was included in the Wide-Field Camera 3 (WF3). The F656N filter, centered at 6563.7 Å with a FWHM of 21.4 Å, includes the Hα line and the neighboring [N II] lines. Using the [N II]/Hα intensity ratio measured from our echelle spectra (see Section 2.3), we estimate that the [N II] λ 6548 line emission contributes 2.4% of the flux in N44 F. This [N II] contamination has been corrected in our Hα flux measurements. The F673N filter, centered at 6732.2 Å with a FWHM of 47.2 Å includes the nebular lines of [S II] $\lambda\lambda$ 6716,6731.

The calibrated WFPC2 images were produced by the standard *HST* pipeline. We processed them further with IRAF and STSDAS routines. The images taken with the same filter were combined to remove cosmic rays and to produce a total-exposure map.

⁷The original observations of N44 were made with an incorrect orientation, resulting in a loss of 1/3 of the field of view. To compensate the loss, HOPR observations were granted. To facilitate the scheduling of these HOPR observations and to maximize the scientific yield, a different pointing center in N44 suitable for arbitrary orientations was chosen.

The combined [SII] and H α images were then each corrected for the intensity- and position-dependent charge transfer efficiency as explained by Holtzman et al. (1995). Following the recommended procedures for narrowband WFPC2 photometry⁸, we find the rectangular widths of the F656N and F673N filters to be 28.3 Å and 63.3 Å, respectively, and use these widths to convert the flux densities into fluxes.

Figure 3 shows the WFPC2 images of N44 F. To show the excitation variations, the $[SII]/H\alpha$ ratio map of N44 F is also presented, in addition to the $H\alpha$ and [SII] images.

2.3. CTIO 4 m Echelle Spectra

Echelle spectra were obtained with the CTIO 4 m telescope in 2000 December. The spectrograph was used with a 79 line mm⁻¹ echelle grating and the long-focus red camera. We observed a single order centered on the H α line by inserting a post-slit H α interference filter and replacing the cross-dispersing grating with a flat mirror. A Tek 2048×2048 CCD with 24 μ m pixel⁻¹ was used to record the images. This provided a spectral sampling of ~0.08 Å pixel⁻¹ and a spatial sampling of 0".26 pixel⁻¹. The wavelength coverage, limited by the H α filter and the echelle order, was 125 Å; the spatial coverage, limited by the optics, was ~200". The angular resolution, determined by the FWHM of the seeing, was approximately 1". A slitwidth of 1".65 was used. The resultant spectral resolution, measured from the Th-Ar lamp lines, was about 13 km s⁻¹ FWHM.

Each of the three nebulae, N44 F, N44 J, and N44 M, was observed with an east-west oriented slit. The exposure times were 600 s for N44 F, but only 300 s for N44 J and N44 M. The spectral lines detected include nebular H α and [N II] lines, and telluric H α and OH lines (Osterbrock et al. 1996). We have adopted rest wavelengths of 6562.7885 Å, and 6548.080

 $^{^8} available\ at\ http://www.stsci.edu/instruments/wfpc2/Wfpc2_faq/wfpc2_nrw_phot_faq.html$

Å and 6583.454 Å for the H α and [N II] $\lambda\lambda$ 6548,6583 nebular lines, respectively (Spyromilio 1995).

3. Physical Structure of N44 F

We will first describe the overall morphology of N44F, examine its excitation and ionization structure, and derive its rms electron density. Special emphasis is given to the prominent dust "pillars" where star formation might be taking place. Next, we describe the kinematic features detected in the echelle spectra, fit Gaussian components to the line profiles, and use the resultant velocities to identify expanding structures and to determine expansion velocities. Finally, we combine the morphological and kinematic information and present an integrated view of this H II region. Throughout this paper, we will adopt a distance of 50 kpc to the LMC (Feast 1999).

3.1. Morphology and Density

N44 F is a bright circular nebula nestled on a bright filament at the northwest rim of the superbubble in N44. In low-resolution H α images, N44 F appears to have a double-ring structure with a very bright region in the northwest. The details of these features are resolved in the HST WFPC2 images. The outer ring, of radius $\sim 22''$, is surrounded by dusty features. Finger- or pillar-like dust columns, similar to those in the Eagle Nebula (=M16; Hester et al. 1996), are also seen at the periphery, with two especially prominent ones at the west, close to the brightest region of N44 F. These dust columns are revealed in detail by the HST images, and will be discussed more extensively in Section 3.2. The inner ring, of radius $\sim 6''$, is resolved into diffuse emission without sharp features. The western part of this ring merges into the brightest region of N44 F. A group of bright stars are

located within the central ring, but they are substantially offset towards the bright emission region at the northwest. Unfortunately, none of the stars in the vicinity of N44F have been studied photometrically or spectroscopically.

The [S II] images of N44 F show a very different morphology: only the outer ring is visible and it is mostly composed of sharp filaments. The pillar-like features are also present and stars at the tips of two of them are clearly seen (see Fig. 4). The [S II]/H α ratio in the central region is very low, about 0.1. The outer ring possesses a higher [S II]/H α ratio, with values ranging from 0.2 to 0.4. Enhanced [S II]/H α ratios are often associated with shell structures or high velocity shocks. As we do not find the latter in the nebula (see Section 3.3), the somewhat elevated [S II]/H α ratio is likely to result from the low ionization parameter⁹ caused by the shell morphology. The values of [S II]/H α are in fact similar to those seen in other shell structures (e.g., Lasker 1977; Hunter 1994).

Using the Curtis Schmidt images, we also examine the [O III] morphology of N44 F. Because of the poorer resolution, only the overall morphology can be described. The [O III] image of N44 F looks very similar to that in H α , except for the bright H α outer ring, which disappears almost completely in [O III]. We have used the HST WFPC2 observations of N44 C (Garnett, Galarza, & Chu 2000) to calibrate the observed [O III]/H α ratio derived with the Curtis Schmidt images. The [O III]/H α ratio of N44 F is relatively high, about 0.5–1.2 inside the ring, with the highest value near the star(s). The outer ring has the lowest [O III]/H α ratio, about 0.25. The integrated [O III]/H α ratio for the whole nebula is about 0.55. The high ratio near the central region indicates that the ionizing source must

⁹The ionizing flux derived further can be used to estimate the ionization parameter $U \propto (Q(H^0) \, n \, f^2)^{1/3}$, where $Q(H^0)$ is the ionizing flux in photons s⁻¹, n the density in cm⁻³, and f the filling factor. Using $Q(H^0) = 1.0 \times 10^{49}$ photons s⁻¹, n = 40 cm⁻³ and $f = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{\Delta R}{R}\right)^3 \sim 0.6$, we find a value of $\sim 10^{-3}$ for the ionization parameter.

be an early-type massive star.

The WFPC2 H α image can be further analyzed to estimate the rms density of N44 F. A color excess of E(B-V)=0.11 mag for N44 (Oev & Massey 1995) is adopted in the extinction correction. The emission measure (EM) derived from the extinction-corrected surface brightness (Peimbert, Rayo, & Torres-Peimbert 1975) ranges from $7 \times 10^3~\mathrm{cm}^{-6}~\mathrm{pc}$ in the faintest interior regions of N44 F to 4×10^4 cm⁻⁶ pc at the tips of the "pillars". To derive the density from the EM, we can assume two simple geometries. First, the density can be estimated by assuming the depth to be equal to the diameter of the nebula: for the central region, we find a value ranging from 25 cm⁻³ to 35 cm⁻³. Second, N44 F is limb-brightened and we can therefore estimate the density by assuming a constant density spherical shell with radius R and thickness ΔR . This geometry predicts a unique radial surface brightness profile for any $\Delta R/R$ based on the varying path length through the shell material. Comparing these simple modeled profiles to the observed H α surface brightness profiles near the shell rim, we find a shell thickness $\Delta R/R$ of ~ 0.25 . Using the peak surface brightness and the length of the longest line of sight, $2\sqrt{2R\Delta R} - (\Delta R)^2$, we then derived a density in the shell of 40 cm⁻³. On average, the diffuse gas of N44F probably possesses a density of a few tens cm^{-3} .

Using the Curtis Schmidt H α image and the flux calibration from Kennicutt & Hodge (1986), we measured over the entire N44F nebula an H α flux of 3.6×10^{-11} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹. For a distance of 50 kpc, the H α luminosity of N44F is then 1.4×10^{37} erg s⁻¹, after correcting for an extinction of E(B-V)=0.11 mag. This H α luminosity requires an ionizing flux of $Q(H^0)=1.0\times 10^{49}$ photons s⁻¹. A second evaluation of the extinction correction has been made by comparing radio data and the Curtis Schmidt H α image: a mean value E(B-V) of 0.28 mag for N44F, N44J, and N44M is found (J. R. Dickel, private communication), and this results in a $Q(H^0)$ of 1.4×10^{49} photons s⁻¹ for N44F. This value suggests that the

total ionizing power of the star(s) within N44F is equivalent to a single O6.5 V–O7.5 V star (Panagia 1973; Vacca, Garmany, & Shull 1996; Schaerer & de Koter 1997). This is compatible with the rather high [O III]/H α ratio: the photoionization models of Stasińska & Schaerer (1997) for CoStar stellar atmosphere models suggest that the observed integrated [O III]/H α ratio of N44F could be produced by the equivalent of an O8 V–O9 V star¹⁰. The comparison to the photoionization models should however be interpreted with caution because the models do not assume a shell structure, which affects the excitation and ionization structure. The dominant spectral type could therefore be somewhat earlier than inferred from the modeled excitation.

3.2. Pillar-like Dust Columns

The dark clouds of N44F exhibit new examples of the intriguing finger- or pillar-like structures, similar to those reported in the Eagle Nebula (Hester et al. 1996). The most prominent two are embedded in the bright northwest region (see Figs. 3 and 4). Several additional features of this type are present in the south and possibly in the southwest, but they are fainter. In Fig. 4, we present the most striking of these structures in the H α and [SII] images as well as in the ratio map. The dust columns in N44F are 5" to 10" long and 1" to 2" wide, corresponding to 1 to 2 pc long and 0.25 to 0.5 pc wide at the LMC's distance. These sizes and morphologies are similar to those seen in 30 Doradus (Scowen et al. 1998) and M16 (Hester et al. 1996). Two of these columns harbor stars at their tips, as indicated by arrows in Fig. 4. Hester et al. (1996) suggested that stars at the tips of

 $^{^{10}}$ We have compared N44 F, N44 J, & N44 M to the closest model available in Stasińska & Schaerer (1997), i.e. a spherical nebula of density 10 cm $^{-3}$ and metallicity 0.25 Z_☉, ionized by one single star.

pillars could be young stellar objects which were formed in the molecular cloud and finally emerge after the photoevaporation of most of their parent cloud. However, the Hester et al. (1996) model of pre-existing condensations in the molecular cloud does not provide the unique conditions for producing photoionized pillars. Hydrodynamical simulations by Williams, Ward-Thompson, & Whitworth (2001) illustrate that these structures can be formed by a variety of initial conditions in the structure with inhomogeneities in density and/or radiation field, but current observations do not yet permit discrimination among these different models. It is therefore of great interest to identify additional examples of such objects.

Other important characteristics of these dust columns are their ionization structure and their density. All of the dust columns point towards the central ionizing source, i.e., a bright star or a small cluster between the center and the northwest edge of N44 F. As can be seen in Fig. 5, the [S II] peak is separated from the $H\alpha$ peak by approximately 0".1, i.e., 0.024 pc, with $H\alpha$ peaking slightly closer to the source of UV radiation which is photoevaporating the cloud. The shape of these profiles is very similar to those observed in the pillars of 30 Doradus, or in ground-based images of M16 (Scowen et al. 1998). Unfortunately, no WFPC2 [O III] images of N44 F are available, thus we can not undertake a complete analysis using photoionization models or constrain further the properties of the ionizing source. Following the method used in section 3.1, we estimate an rms density larger than 300 cm⁻³ at the tip of one of the pillars. This density is compatible with that determined by Scowen et al. (1998) in 30 Doradus, 700 cm⁻³. These pillars are thus photoevaporating clouds, whose evaporated matter enhances the density and brightness of the diffuse material seen in the northwest part of the nebula.

3.3. Kinematic Properties

The kinematic properties of N44F are derived from the high-dispersion echelle observations of the H α and [N II] λ 6583 lines. The [N II] line has a smaller thermal width than the H α line, thus it shows the line splitting better although it is noisier. We have extracted H α and [N II] line profiles and fitted them with Gaussian components. The resultant velocity-position plot (Fig. 6) shows that N44F is an expanding shell with a radius of 22", coincident with the outer ring described in §3.1. Both lines indicate a shell expansion velocity of \sim 12 km s⁻¹. An example of the [N II] and H α profiles are presented in Fig. 7. The approaching (blueshifted) component is fainter, suggesting a lower density. Further east, outside N44F, an additionnal blue component is present, but it is probably associated with the complex filamentary structure at the outskirts of N44 and is not directly related to N44F.

3.4. Test of the Standard Bubble Model

N44 F has a classical ring-nebula morphology, and its kinematics confirms an expanding shell, most likely created by the wind of the early-type massive star(s) within this bubble. We can now test whether the observed expansion dynamics of this bubble are consistent with those expected from the standard, adiabatic bubble model (e.g., Weaver et al. 1977). With the observed radius R in units of pc and expansion velocity V in units of km s⁻¹, we can compute the dynamical timescale t_6 in units of 10^6 yr using $t_6 = 0.6R/V$, and further determine the ratio of stellar wind luminosity L_{36} in units of 10^{36} ergs s⁻¹ to ambient density n_0 in units of H-atom cm⁻³, using $R = 27 \left(\frac{L_{36}}{n_0}\right)^{1/5} t_6^{3/5}$.

For a radius of 5.3 pc and an expansion velocity of ~ 12 km s⁻¹, N44 F has a dynamical timescale of 2.7×10^5 yr and a L_{36}/n_0 ratio of 0.016 ergs s⁻¹ cm³. If we assume that the

shell consists of mass swept up from the volume, the ambient density, n_0 , can be estimated by $n_0 = 3n\frac{\Delta R}{R}$, where n is the rms density of the shell, ΔR the shell thickness, and R the shell radius. For $n \sim 40$ cm⁻³ and $\frac{\Delta R}{R} = 0.25$ (see Section 3.1), we derive an ambient density of ~ 30 cm⁻³ and a wind luminosity of $\sim 5 \times 10^{35}$ ergs s⁻¹. This wind luminosity is compatible with that expected from the spectral type determined in Section 3.1, i.e., an O7V star (de Jager et al. 1988; Prinja, Barlow, & Howarth 1990). One uncertainty in these estimates is the shell thickness; however, even if the shell thickness is off by a factor of two, the predicted wind luminosity will still be compatible, within the errors, with an O7V star.

Thus, the dynamics of N44F appear to be consistent with the standard adiabatic model for bubble evolution, within uncertainties generated by the complex interstellar environment. This contrasts with the results from superbubbles, which show evidence of retarded growth (e.g., Oey 1996). To investigate further this discrepancy, it is worth considering an alternative bubble model.

The presence of dust pillars around N44 F clearly indicates a highly inhomogeneous ISM. The photoevaporation of the dense clumps may serve as an energy sink and govern the dynamic evolution of this H II region. Since photoevaporation processes take place in the early evolutionary stages of a bubble/superbubble, the energy loss by photoevaporation may explain the smaller-than-expected sizes of previously studied superbubbles and Wolf-Rayet ring nebulae (see §1). Therefore, we will now consider models of bubbles formed in a cloudy medium by McKee, Van Buren, & Lazareff (1984). In their models, only bubbles blown by stars with weak winds will follow the Weaver et al. model, while stars with stronger winds will produce bubbles whose expansion is governed by the dynamics of photoevaporating clouds. They define a dimensionless wind luminosity $L_W^* \equiv L_W/(1.26 \times 10^{36} (S_{49}^2/n_m)^{1/3})$ and a homogenization radius $R_h(\text{pc}) \equiv 0.009 \ t^{4/7} \left(\frac{S_{49}}{n_m^2}\right)^{1/7}$, where L_W is the wind luminosity in erg s⁻¹, S_{49} the ionizing flux in photons s⁻¹, n_m the mean cloud density in cm⁻³ and t

the dynamical timescale in years. Strong winds possess $L_W^* \gtrsim 1$ and blow bubbles of radius $\sim R_h$.

Using the observed parameters of N44F (with $n_m=n_0$) and assuming a stellar wind luminosity for an O7V star, we estimate $L_W^* \sim 1$ and $R_h \sim 4.5$ pc, close to the observed bubble's radius. This seems to suggest that the dynamics of photoevaporation actually governs the bubble's expansion. The agreement of the data with both models could be a simple coincidence, or maybe the bubble has just encountered the cloudy medium and begun the photoevaporation processes, in which case there may not be a large deviation from the Weaver et al. model. Note, however, that all these conclusions hinge upon the validity of the stellar wind luminosity, which is based on an assumed spectral type of the central star. Future study of the actual stellar content of N44F is needed to place stronger constraints on the applicable bubble models.

4. Physical Structure of N44 J and N44 M

4.1. N44 J

Situated in the northernmost part of the N44 complex, N44 J is a slightly elongated, ring-like nebula with a size of $37'' \times 32''$ (or 9.0 pc×7.8 pc). The 0.9 m H α image shows a bright rim around dusty features at the northwest boundary. A bright central star is visible in the H α and [S II] images (see Fig. 2). In H α and [S II], the nebula exhibits modest limb-brightening, with the edge-to-center brightness ratio <2, while its central part displays a rather uniform surface brightness. The [S II]/H α ratio is very similar to that of N44 F, ranging from 0.25 to 0.4. In contrast, the [O III] image of N44 J shows emission only near the central star. The [O III]/H α ratio is 0.4 near the star, and only 0.05–0.08 elsewhere, significantly lower than the corresponding value in N44 F. The integrated [O III]/H α ratio

of N44 J is about 0.12.

The observed H α flux of N44 J is 5.7×10^{-12} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹. This requires an ionizing flux $Q(H^0)$ of 1.6×10^{48} photons s⁻¹ when adopting E(B-V)=0.11 mag, or 2.3×10^{48} photons s⁻¹ for E(B-V)=0.28 mag. These values are expected for an O9V-B0V type ionizing star (Panagia 1973; Vacca, Garmany, & Shull 1996; Schaerer & de Koter 1997), which is fully consistent with that suggested by the [O III]/H α ratio of the nebula (Stasińska & Schaerer 1997). Assuming a spherical geometry, we derive an rms electron density of ~ 25 cm⁻³, slightly less dense than N44 F. The ionized gas mass of N44 J is then ~ 200 M $_{\odot}$.

The echelle spectrum of N44 J shows a kinematically quiescent H II region. The centroids of the H α and [N II] lines are centered at 292 km s⁻¹, with an rms variation of 3 km s⁻¹ (see Figs. 6 and 7). No line splitting is seen. The observed FWHMs are 27 ± 1 km s⁻¹ for H α and 16 ± 2 km s⁻¹ for [N II]. These observed line widths imply a turbulent FWHM of about 10 km s⁻¹ for H α and 8 km s⁻¹ for [N II] if we take into account the instrumental profile and the thermal broadening at 10^4 K. The turbulence can thus explain the small variations of the centroid velocity. The difference between the turbulence widths is probably caused by a difference in the emission regions of the two lines. If N44 J is actually expanding, the expansion velocity should be very small, <8 km s⁻¹. For such small expansion velocity, no strong compression is expected, hence no sharp filaments should be seen.

Finally, we may compare the observations with the standard, adiabatic bubble model. As the density in the ring-like nebula is a few tens cm⁻³, we will assume in our calculations a density of 10 cm⁻³ in the ISM. For an expected wind luminosity of ~3×10³⁴ erg s⁻¹ for an O9.5V star (de Jager et al. 1988; Prinja, Barlow, & Howarth 1990) and with the observed 17".5 radius of N44 J, the Weaver et al. (1977) model predicts an expansion velocity of about 8 km s⁻¹ for N44 J. This expected expansion velocity is just at the limit implied by the

velocity widths of the H α and [N II] lines. Raising the ambient density by a factor of 2 can lower the expected expansion velocity to 6.5 km s⁻¹, which is more consistent with the observed limit. We conclude that the observed bubble dynamics of N44 J does not greatly contradict the model predictions.

4.2. N44 M

On the southeast part of N44, another small ring-like nebula is present: N44 M. It is the faintest nebula of our sample. It shows a ring with a radius of $\sim 22''$ (or 5.3 pc), but its north boundary is not well defined (see Fig. 2). The sharp southwest boundary suggests the presence of dust clouds. Several bright stars appear in its interior. N44 M possesses an excitation structure very similar to N44 J. The [S II]/H α ratio of N44 M is comparable to that in N44 F, but the [O III]/H α ratio of N44 M is much lower, ranging from 0.07 to 0.16 in the nebula and rising to 0.4 near the stars. The integrated [O III]/H α ratio of N44 M is about 0.18.

With an observed H α flux of $5.3\times10^{-12}~{\rm erg\,cm^{-2}\,s^{-1}}$ and the two evaluations of the extinction, the required ionizing flux $Q(H^0)$ is $1.5-2.1\times10^{48}$ photons s⁻¹. This suggests an O9-B0V type ionizing star (Panagia 1973; Vacca, Garmany, & Shull 1996; Schaerer & de Koter 1997), which is again compatible with the [O III]/H α ratio (Stasińska & Schaerer 1997). If we assume a spherical geometry, we find that the rms density is about $\sim 17~{\rm cm^{-3}}$ and the estimated mass of the nebula $\sim 275~{\rm M}_{\odot}$.

The echelle spectrum of N44 M shows only one component, centered at 298 km s⁻¹ with an rms variation of 1 km s⁻¹, and a FWHM of 28±1 km s⁻¹ in the H α line and 20±4 km s⁻¹ in the [N II] line (see Figs. 6 and 7). The turbulent FWHM are then 12 km s⁻¹ for H α and 14 km s⁻¹ for [N II]. With an observed radius of \sim 22", a density of 10 cm⁻³ for the

ISM and a O9.5V ionizing star (see Section 4.1.), the standard bubble model predicts an expansion velocity of \sim 7 km s⁻¹ for N44M, which appears consistent with the upper limit of the echelle observations.

The kinematic characteristics of N44 J and N44 M are very similar, despite the somewhat different morphology. Neither H II region contains shells expanding at velocities greater than \sim 8 km s⁻¹. In the future, additional observations may enable a better comparison of these nebulae with the standard model. Precise photometry and/or stellar spectroscopy would give accurate informations about the stellar content of these nebulae, while nebular spectra with higher resolution should more strongly constrain the kinematics of N44 J and N44 M. Thus, improved data is needed to more stringently test the standard model for N44 J and N44 M.

5. Conclusion

Massive stars inject a large amount of energy into the ISM through their ionizing radiation, fast stellar winds, and SNe. The stellar winds, which dominate the early mechanical feedback, are able to sweep the ambient medium into an expanding shell and create a cavity around the parent stars. H II regions ionized by massive stars are therefore thought to evolve from compact H II regions to ring-like nebulae.

We have studied three candidate wind-blown bubbles in the N44 complex: N44 F, N44 J, and N44 M. They all have diameters of \sim 10 pc. Their ionizing fluxes and [O III]/H α ratios suggest that their ionizing stars have spectral types of roughly O7V, O9.5V and O9.5V, respectively. N44 F has an expansion velocity of 12 km s⁻¹, while the other two have expansion velocities < 8 km s⁻¹. The stellar wind luminosity implied by the ionizing stars and the observed bubble dynamics appear consistent with that expected in the

standard, adiabatic bubble model within the limits of observational uncertainties. Similar results were found by Oey & Massey (1994) for two bubbles around single O star in M33. This is in sharp contrast to the anomalous kinematics seen in superbubbles and Wolf-Rayet bubbles. We caution, however, that our observed expansion velocities may not provide the most stringent constraints when comparing with models, because the expected expansion velocities of N44 J and N44 M are comparable to or smaller than the convolution of the isothermal sound velocity (\sim 10 km s⁻¹) and the instrumental FWHM (13 km s⁻¹). Deeper, higher-resolution spectroscopic observations of the [N II] or [O III] lines are needed to pin down the expansion velocity for a more definitive test of models.

We also report the discovery of several dust pillars in N44 F, which are similar to those found in the Eagle Nebula (Hester et al. 1996) and 30 Doradus (Scowen et al. 1998). These structures are indicative of the evaporation of molecular clouds by the ionizing source. The examples in N44 F are especially well-suited to investigating the process and role of photoevaporation in star formation and shell evolution, because of their location within such a well-defined, wind-blown bubble. We found that photoevaporation, as evidenced by the pillar structure, may be dynamically important for the shell evolution in N44 F, slowing down the expanding shell and inhibiting its growth. These photoevaporation processes may thus undermine the seeming agreement between the observed bubble dynamics and the simple adiabatic bubble model.

We thank Charles Danforth for assisting in the echelle observations and Rosie Chen for help in the *HST* WFPC2 image reduction. This work has been supported by the NASA grant STScIGO-6698.01-95A. Y.N. acknowledges support from the F.N.R.S., contracts P4/05 and P5/36 'Pôle d'attraction Interuniversitaire' (SSTC-Belgium) and the PRODEX XMM-OM and Integral Projects. R.C.S. acknowledges the support of the Dean B. McLaughlin fellowship at the University of Michigan and NSF grant AST 95-30747.

REFERENCES

Chu, Y.-H., & Mac Low, M.-M. 1990, ApJ, 365, 510

Davies, R. D., Elliott, K. H., & Meaburn, J. 1976, MemRAS, 81, 89

de Jager, C., Nieuwenhuijzen, H., & van der Hucht, K. A. 1988, A&AS, 72, 259

Drissen, L., Moffat, A. F. J., Walborn, N. R., & Shara, M. R. 1995, AJ, 110, 2235

Feast, M. 1999, in "New Views of the Magellanic Clouds", IAU Symposium 190, ed. Y.-H. Chu, N. Suntzeff, J. Hesser, & D. Bohlender, 542

Garnett, D. R., Galarza, V. C., & Chu, Y.-H. 2000, ApJ, 545, 251

Goldman, I. 2000, ApJ, 541, 701

Henize, K. G. 1956, ApJS, 2, 315

Hester J. J., et al. 1996, AJ, 111, 2349

Holtzman, J. A., et al. 1995, PASP, 107, 156

Hunter, D. A. 1994, AJ, 107, 565

Johnson, K. E., Leitherer, C., Vacca, W. D., & Conti, P. S. 2000, AJ, 120, 1273

Kennicutt, R. C., Jr., & Hodge, P. W. 1986, ApJ, 306, 130

Lasker, B. M. 1977, ApJ, 212, 390

Lucke, P. B., & Hodge, P. W. 1970, AJ, 75, 171

McKee, C. F., Van Buren, D., & Lazareff, B. 1984, ApJ, 278, L115

Norman, C. A., & Ferrara, A. 1996, ApJ, 467, 280

Oey, M. S., & Massey, P. 1994, ApJ, 425, 635

Oey, M. S., & Massey, P. 1995, ApJ, 452, 216

Oey, M. S. 1996, ApJ, 467, 666

Osterbrock, D. E., Fulbright, J. P., Martel, A. R., Keane, M. J., Trager, S. C., & Basri, G. 1996, PASP, 108, 277

Panagia, N. 1973, AJ, 78, 929

Peimbert, M., Rayo, J. F., & Torres-Peimbert, S. 1975, Rev. Mex. Astron. Astrof., 1, 289

Prinja, R. K., Barlow, M. J., & Howarth, I. D. 1990, ApJ, 361, 607

Rosado, M., Georgelin, Y. M., Georgelin, Y. P., Laval, A., & Monnet, G. 1982, A&A, 115, 61

Saken, J. M., Shull, J. M., Garmany, C. D., Nichols-Bohlin, J., & Fesen, R. A. 1992, ApJ, 397, 537

Schaerer, D., & de Koter, A. 1997, A&A, 322, 598

Scowen, P. A., et al. 1998, AJ, 116, 163

Spyromilio, J. 1995, MNRAS, 277, L59

Stasińska, G., & Schaerer, D. 1997, A&A, 322, 615

Strickland, D. K., Heckman, T. M., Weaver, K. A., & Dahlem, M. 2000, AJ, 120, 2965

Treffers, R. R. & Chu, Y.-H. 1982, ApJ, 254, 569

Vacca, W. D., Garmany, C. D., & Shull, J. M. 1996, ApJ, 460, 914

Wang, Q. D., & Helfand, D. J. 1991, ApJ, 373, 497

Weaver, R., McCray, R., Castor, J., Shapiro, P., & Moore, R. 1977, ApJ, 218, 377

Weis, K., & Duschl, W. J. 1999, in "New Views of the Magellanic Clouds", IAU Symposium 190, ed. Y.-H. Chu, N. Suntzeff, J. Hesser, & D. Bohlender, 134

Williams, R. J. R., Ward-Thompson, D., & Whitworth A. P. 2001, MNRAS, 327, 788

This manuscript was prepared with the AAS \LaTeX macros v5.0.




Fig. 1.— CTIO 0.9 m H α (+[N II]) CCD image of N44. The nebular components defined by Henize (1956) and the OB associations cataloged by Lucke & Hodge (1970) are marked.




Fig. 2.— Ground-based images of N44 F (left), N44 J (middle), and N44 M (right). The first row contains H α (+[N II]) images taken with the CTIO 0.9 m telescope. The second, third, and fourth rows are Curtis Schmidt images taken in H α (+[N II]), [O III], and [S II] filters, respectively. The field-of-view (FOV) of each image is 92"×92". North is up and east to the left.




Fig. 3.— HST WFPC2 H α (+[N II]) image (left), [S II] image (middle) and [S II]/H α ratio map (right) of N44 F. The rectangular boxes show the position of the close-up images presented in Fig. 4. The bars below the images show the greyscales for surface brightness (in units of erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ arcsec⁻²) or line ratio. North is up and east to the left.




Fig. 4.— Close-up images of dust columns seen in N44F through H α , [SII], and [SII]/H α (for exact position, see Fig. 3). Left: dust columns situated at the west rim of N44F (FOV=18"×18"). Right: dust columns situated at the south rim of N44F (FOV=14"×14"). The arrows point to the stars at the tips of the pillar-like dust columns.




Fig. 5.— Left: $H\alpha$ image of the "pillars" situated at the west part of N44 F. The rectangular box and the arrow show the position and direction of the cut presented at the right. Right: Ionization structure along the rectangular aperture. [S II] and $H\alpha$ intensities are normalized to the peak, while the [S II]/ $H\alpha$ ratio is the actual value. Note that the $H\alpha$ and [S II] peaks are separated by one pixel, i.e. 0".1.




Fig. 6.— Top panel: Echellogram of the [N II] $\lambda 6583$ line for N44 F. Bottom panels: Radial velocity-position plots of the echelle slit positions in N44 F, N44 J, and N44 M for both H α and [N II] lines. The radial velocities are heliocentric. The H α velocity components are plotted in filled symbols, and the [N II] in open ones. The position axis is defined with the center of the nebula at the origin (0") and increasing towards west. The dot-dashed line in each plot indicates the range covered by the nebula. Arrows show the positions of the profiles presented in Fig. 7.




Fig. 7.— $H\alpha$ and [N II] profiles at the positions indicated in Fig. 6.